Correction

Readers have pointed out that remarks attributed to Bernd Sturmfels concerning distance functions on graphs ("Sturmfels Gives 2010 John von Neumann Lecture," *SIAM News*, October 2010, page 1) make sense only if the distances in question are regarded as elements of \mathbb{R}_{\min} , rather than \mathbb{R}_{\max} , as reported. Like \mathbb{R}_{\max} , \mathbb{R}_{\min} is constructed from the real numbers, except for the definition $x \oplus y = \min(x,y)$ instead of $\max(x,y)$. The additive identity is then ∞ instead of $-\infty$. With these adjustments, the mapping $x \to -x$ becomes an isomorphism between \mathbb{R}_{\max} and \mathbb{R}_{\min} . *SIAM News* regrets the error.